



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,145	05/04/2001	Kenneth Mark Hunsinger	RSW920010001US1	9700
. 7590 05/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Geral R. Woods			CAO, DIEM K	
IBM Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
T81/503 PO Box 12195			2126	2
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	, 2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

for

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Commons	09/849,145	HUNSINGER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Diem K Cao	2126
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 M</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under M.	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).
 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/4/2001.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-25 are presented for examination.

2. The cross reference related to the application cited in the specification must be updated

(i.e. update the relevant status, with PTO serial numbers or patent numbers where appropriate, on

page 1, lines 5-6. The entire specification should be so revised).

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the 3.

original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are

canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they

must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest

numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 11-23 (second claim 11) been renumbered 13-25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porter (U.S.

6,697,814 B1) in view of Sweeney et al. (U.S. 2002/0083168).

Art Unit: 2126

Page 3

- 6. **As to claim 1**, Porter teaches receiving one or more events (event collection crated ... the event data; col. 8, lines 1-15), evaluating each received event to determine if an additional capability is available (Code-let builder examines one or more received event; col. 8, lines 1-49), and programmatically appending the additional capability to the event if so (adds executable code segments and additional data; col. 8, lines 1-49), thereby automatically and dynamically adapting the received events to the capabilities of the system without requiring changes to applications generating the events (Each service processing record ... event data; col. 5, lines 1-65).
- 7. However, Porter does not teach the management system, Porter teaches a billing processing system (a billing processing system; col. 4, lines 63-67), and the actions could be billing action or finding and eliminating problems in the network (col. 7, lines 35-48). Sweeney teaches the event management system (EMS; abstract).
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Porter and Sweeney because it provides a method to monitoring events generated on a distributed computer network.
- 9. **As to claim 2**, Porter teaches programmatically invoking processing of the appended additional capabilities (a record processor equipped to receive and process interpretables; col. 11, lines 56-67 and col. 19, lines 23-40).

Art Unit: 2126

10. As to claim 3, Porter teaches selected ones of the appended additional capabilities comprise a name of an executable task (methods or callable functions; col. 5, lines 5-17).

- 11. **As to claim 4,** Porter teaches selected ones of the appended additional capabilities comprise a name of an executable task (methods or callable functions; col. 5, lines 5-17) and wherein the programmatically invoking step comprises executing the task (Execution of the interpretable then proceeds; col. 19, lines 23-40).
- 12. **As to claim 5**, Porter teaches selected ones of the appended additional capabilities comprise a rule to be added to a rule base server (code-let building policy ... method to be uploaded; col. 18, lines 31-52).
- 13. **As to claim 6**, Porter teaches selected ones of the appended additional capabilities comprise a rule to be evaluated by a rule base server and wherein the programmatically invoking step comprises evaluating the rule by the rule base server (code-let building policy ... method to be uploaded; col. 18, lines 31-52 and col. 19, lines 1-22).
- 14. As to claim 7, Porter teaches selected ones of the appended additional capabilities comprise a property name and value (A method section ...upload indicator ... retained in the library; col. 10, lines 43-53).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2126

- 15. **As to claim 8**, Porter teaches selected ones of the appended additional capabilities comprise a property name and value (A method section ...upload indicator ... retained in the library; col. 10, lines 43-53) and wherein the programmatically invoking step comprises determining if a rule associated with that property name and value exists in a rule base and evaluating the rule if so (Execution of the interpretable then proceeds; col. 19, lines 1-40).
- As to claim 9, Porter does not teach a flag indicates whether the appended additional capability for a selected has been processed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, the advantage of using flag in programming is well known, especially in the system of Porter, there are more than one functions/methods are added to the event collection, would be motivated to using flag in the implementation of the processing the events.
- 17. **As to claim 10**, Porter does not teach a precondition for the programmatically invoking step comprises determining whether an appended additional capability is present on a selected event, and determining that the appended additional capability has not already been performed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, the advantage of using flag in programming is well known, especially in the system of Porter, there are more than one functions/methods are added to the event collection, would be motivated to using flag in the implementation of the processing the events.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2126

- 18. **As to claim 11**, Porter does not explicitly teach the programmatically appended further comprises adding a slot to a representation of the event. Porter teaches data and instructions are added, and the code-let can be implemented as object (col. 8, lines 1-15 and lines 50-60).
- 19. As to claim 12, Porter teaches the programmatically appending further comprises adding a property to an object representing the event (code-let 417 are created ... serialized objects; col. 8, lines 50-60 and a method section ... interpretable file; col. 10, lines 43-53).
- 20. **As to claim 13**, Porter teaches the programmatically appending further comprises adding a field to a representative of the event (adding data and instruction; col. 8, lines 1-15 and code-let 417 are created ... serialized objects; col. 8, lines 50-60).
- 21. **As to claims 14 and 20**, they correspond to the method claim of claim 1 except they are a system and a computer product claim, respectively.
- 22. As to claims 15 and 21, see rejection of claim 2 above.
- 23. As to claims 16 and 22, see rejection of claim 4 above.
- 24. As to claims 17 and 23, see rejection of claim 6 above.
- 25. As to claims 18 and 24, see rejection of claim 8 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,145 Page 7

Art Unit: 2126

26. As to claims 19 and 25, see rejection of claim 10 above.

Conclusion

27. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Pohlmann et al. (U.S. 6,446,136) teaches a system and method for dynamic correlation of events.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diem K Cao whose telephone number is (703) 305-5220. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner for Patents

Art Unit: 2126

Page 8

PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Diem Cao

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100